arXiv:1108.2515v1 [math.FA] 11 Aug 2011

ESTIMATES FOR THE POISSON KERNEL AND THE EVOLUTION KERNEL ON NILPOTENT META-ABELIAN GROUPS

RICHARD PENNEY AND ROMAN URBAN

Abstract. Let S be a semi direct product $S = N \rtimes A$ where N is a connected and simply connected, non-abelian, nilpotent meta-abelian Lie group and A is isomorphic with $\mathbb{R}^k$, $k > 1$. We consider a class of second order left-invariant differential operators on S of the form $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = L^a + \Delta_\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and for each $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$, L^a is left-invariant second order differential operator on N and $\Delta_\alpha = \Delta - \langle \alpha, \nabla \rangle$, where Δ is the usual Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^k$. Using some probabilistic techniques (e.g., skew-product formulas for diffusions on S and N respectively) we obtain an upper bound for the Poisson kernel for $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$. We also give an upper estimate for the transition probabilities of the evolution on N generated by $L^{\sigma(t)}$, where σ is a continuous function from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^k$.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Poisson kernel on NA groups.** Let S be a semi direct product $S = N \rtimes A$ where N is a connected and simply connected, non-abelian, nilpotent Lie group and A is isomorphic with $\mathbb{R}^k$.[1] The dimension k of A is called the *rank* of S. For $s \in S$ we let $x(g) = x$ and $a(g) = a$ denote the components of s in this product so that $g = (x, a)$.

In what follows we identify the group A, its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$, and $\mathfrak{a}^*$, the space of linear forms on $\mathfrak{a}$, with the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^k$ endowed with the usual scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the corresponding norm $\| \cdot \|$. For the vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we write $v^2 = v \cdot v = \langle v, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^2$.

We assume that there is a basis $X_1, \dots, X_d$ for $\mathfrak{n}$ that diagonalizes the A-action. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in \mathfrak{a}^* = \mathbb{R}^k$ be the corresponding roots, i.e., for

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A85, 31B05, 22E25, 22E30, 60J25, 60J60.

Key words and phrases. Poisson kernel, evolution kernel, harmonic functions, left invariant differential operators, meta-abelian nilpotent Lie groups, solvable Lie groups, homogeneous groups, higher rank NA groups, Brownian motion, exponential functionals of Brownian motion.

The second author was supported in part by the MNiSW research grant N N201 393937.

[1] The case where N is abelian can be analyzed by considerably simpler methods which we do not consider here.

every $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, $[H, X_j] = \lambda_j(H)X_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$. As in [4] we assume that there is an element $H_o \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that

$$\lambda_j(H_o) > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq d.$$

Let, for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and real d_j's,

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \left(e^{2\lambda_j(a)} X_j^2 + d_j e^{\lambda_j(a)} X_j\right) + \Delta_\alpha, \tag{1.1}$$

where, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\Delta_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\partial_{a_i}^2 - 2\alpha_i \partial_{a_i}), \tag{1.2}$$

and $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ satisfy Hörmander condition, i.e., they generate the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of N. Then $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is a left-invariant differential operator on S. Define

$$\rho_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j \tag{1.3}$$

and set

$$\chi(g) = \det(\mathrm{Ad}(g)) = e^{\rho_0(a)}, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g)s = gsg^{-1}, \quad s \in S.$$

Let ds be left-invariant Haar measure on S. We have

$$\int_S f(sg)ds = \chi(g)^{-1} \int_S f(s)ds.$$

Let

$$A^+ = \mathrm{Int}\{a \in \mathbb{R}^k : \lambda_j(a) \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r\}.$$

Remark. It is clear that we could have used all of the roots in defining A^+ since from the Hörmander condition the span over $\mathbb{N}$ of $\lambda_j, 1 \leq j \leq r$ contains all of the roots.

If $\alpha \in A^+$ then there exists a *Poisson kernel* ν for $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ [4]. That is, there is a C^∞ function ν on N such that every bounded $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$-harmonic function F on S may be written as a *Poisson integral* against a bounded function f on $S/A = N$,

$$F(g) = \int_{S/A} f(gy)\nu(y)dy = \int_N f(y)\check{\nu}^a(y^{-1}x)dy,$$

where

$$\check{\nu}^a(y) = \nu(a^{-1}y^{-1}a)\chi(a)^{-1}.$$

Conversely the Poisson integral of any $f \in L^\infty(N)$ is a bounded $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$-harmonic function.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\rho \in A^+$, let

$$\delta_t^\rho = \mathrm{Ad}((\log t)\rho)|_N.$$

Then $t \mapsto \delta_t^\rho$ is a one parameter group of automorphisms of N for which the corresponding eigenvalues on $\mathfrak{n}$ are all positive. It is known [12] that then N has δ_t^ρ-homogeneous norm: a non-negative continuous function $|\cdot|_\rho$ on N such that $|n|_\rho = 0$ if and only if $n = e$ and

$$|\delta_t^\rho x|_\rho = t|x|_\rho.$$

For many years the best pointwise estimate in higher rank available in the literature was

$$\nu(x) \le C_\rho(1 + |x|_\rho)^{-\varepsilon}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\rho \in A^+$ ([4, 5]). This estimate was significantly improved by the authors in [17, 18]. Assume that the rank (dimension of A) is $k > 1$. Let ν be the Poisson kernel for the operator $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in A^+$. A simplified version of [17, Theorem 1.2] says

Theorem 1.1 ([17, Theorem 1.2])**.** *For every given $\rho \in A^+$ there exist positive constants C and c* [2] *such that the following estimate holds*

$$\nu(x) \le C(1 + |x|_\rho)^{-c\rho_0(\rho)\gamma(\alpha)},$$

where $\gamma(\alpha) = 2\min_{1\le j\le r} \frac{\lambda_j(\alpha)}{\lambda_j^2}$ and ρ_0 is as in (1.3).

A different estimate, for $\rho = \alpha$ is given by the following

Theorem 1.2 ([18, Theorem 1.1])**.** *For all $q > 1$ there exists a constant $C_q = C_{q,\alpha} > 0$ such that for all $x \in N$, the following estimate holds*

$$\nu(x) \le C_q(1 + |x|_\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{q}\tilde{\gamma}(\alpha)},$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}(\alpha) = 2\min_{1\le j\le r} \frac{\lambda_j(\alpha)^2}{\lambda_j^2}$.

1.2. **Statements of the main results.** As it is noted in [17, 18] the estimates given in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 above are not optimal. In this work we consider the case where N is a non-abelian, nilpotent, meta-abelian group, obtaining a more explicit estimate for the Poisson kernel in this setting. Specifically, we assume that

$$N = M \rtimes V$$

where M and V are abelian Lie groups with the corresponding Lie algebras $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{v}$. Let $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}$ and $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be bases for $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{v}$ respectively such that $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_m, X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ forms an ordered Jordan-Hölder basis for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of N, ordered so that the matrix of ad_X in this basis is strictly lower triangular for all $X \in \mathfrak{n}$. We use these bases to identify M and V with $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ respectively. For $x \in N$ we let $m(x) = m$ and $v(x) = v$ denote the components of x in this product so that $x = (m, v)$.

---

[2] The constant c can be computed explicitly. Moreover, $c \to 0$ as $\rho \to \partial A^+$.

We assume also that the $\{Y_i\}$ and $\{X_j\}$ are eigenvectors for the ad_H, $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, action, i.e., there are $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \mathfrak{m}^*$ and $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n \in \mathfrak{v}^*$ such that for every $H \in \mathfrak{a}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}_H Y_i &= [H, Y_i] = \xi_i(H) Y_i,\ 1 \le i \le m, \\ \mathrm{ad}_H X_i &= [H, X_j] = \vartheta_j(H) X_j,\ 1 \le j \le n. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that there exists an H_o such that

$$\xi_i(H_o) > 0 \text{ and } \vartheta_j(H_o) > 0 \text{ for } 1 \le i \le m,\ 1 \le j \le n,$$

i.e,

$$A^+ \neq \emptyset.$$

The Jordan-Hölder property implies that in the $\{Y_i\}$ basis on $\mathfrak{m}$, ad_X is strictly lower triangular for all $X \in \mathfrak{v}$.

To simplify our notation we write Λ to denote the set of roots

$$\Lambda = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n\} = \Xi \cup \Theta,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi =& \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}, \\ \Theta =& \{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\Lambda_o \subset \Lambda$ and $a \in A^+$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\Lambda_o}(a) &= \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda_o} \lambda(a), \\ \overline{\gamma}_{\Lambda_o}(a) &= \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda_o} \frac{\lambda(a)}{\lambda^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

For simplicity we assume that all of the d_j in (1.1) are zero. Hence, in this case, we define

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{2\xi_j(a)} Y_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{2\vartheta_j(a)} X_j^2. \tag{1.6}$$

In this setting our first main result is the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let ν be the Poisson kernel for the operator $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$, defined in* (1.6)*, with $\alpha \in A^+$. Under the above assumptions on N, for every $\rho \in A^+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C_{\rho,\varepsilon} > 0$ such that*

$$\nu(m, v) \le C(1 + |(m, v)|_\rho)^{-\gamma},$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\gamma_\Theta(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Theta(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_\Lambda(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Lambda(\alpha) & \text{for } \|m\| \geq \varepsilon \text{ and } \|v\| \geq \varepsilon, \\ \gamma_\Theta(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Theta(\alpha) & \text{for } \|v\| \geq \varepsilon, \\ \gamma_\Lambda(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Lambda(\alpha) & \text{for } \|m\| \geq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

For the estimates for the Poisson kernel and its derivatives on rank-one NA groups, i.e., $\dim A = 1$, see [9, 8, 22, 3, 7].

The proof of Theorem 1.3 requires both analytic and probabilistic techniques. Some of them were introduced in [4] and used in [6, 9, 8, 17]. In particular, we use the following *skew-product formula* for the semigroup T_t generated by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$, on a general NA group,

$$T_t f(x,a) = \mathbf{E}_a U^\sigma(0,t) f(x,\sigma_t), \tag{1.7}$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to the diffusion σ_t on $\mathbb{R}^k$ generated by Δ_α, i.e., the Brownian motion with drift, and $U^\sigma(s,t)$ is the evolution generated by L^{σ_t} where $\sigma \in C([0,\infty),\mathbb{R}^k)$ and, for $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$L^a = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{2\xi_j(a)} Y_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{2\vartheta_j(a)} X_j^2. \tag{1.8}$$

Thus $U^\sigma(s,t)$ is the (unique) family of bounded (on appropriate space of functions on N) convolution operators $U^\sigma(s,t)f = f * P^\sigma(t,s)$, with smooth kernels (transition probabilities) $P^\sigma(t,s)$, which have some properties generalizing semigroup property (see p. 10). The idea of such a decomposition of the diffusion on S goes back to [15, 16].

In order to get estimates for the Poisson kernel it is necessary to have estimates for $P^\sigma(t,0)(x)$. The best general result we are aware of in the literature would, when specialized to our current context, be Theorem 1.4 below. See [6, 8] and [17].

Let

$$A^\sigma(s,t) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \int_s^t e^{2\lambda(\sigma_u)} du. \tag{1.9}$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let $K \subset N$ be closed and $e \notin K$. Then there exist constants C_1, C_2, and ν such that for every $x \in K$ and for every t,*

$$P^\sigma(t,0)(x) \leq C_1 \left(\int_0^t \chi(\sigma_u)^{2/\nu} du \right)^{-\nu/2} \exp\left(\frac{\tau(x)}{4} - \frac{\tau(x)^2}{C_2 A^\sigma(0,t)} \right)$$

where τ is a subadditive norm which is smooth on $N \setminus \{e\}$.

It is clear that this estimate is not optimal; it follows from formula (2.7) below, for example, that if N is abelian, a similar estimate holds without the $\frac{\tau(x)}{4}$ term. In the rank-one case the presence of this term does not cause a problem; it is enough to consider x in a compact set. In the higher-rank case this term does create difficulties. Our second main result, Theorem 1.5 below, which plays the crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.3, is an estimate for $P^\sigma(t,0)$ on N which does not contain such a term. We conjecture that a similar result holds general for nilpotent groups N.

In order to state this result let, for a continuous function $\sigma : [0,\infty) \to A = \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} A^\sigma_{M,i}(s,t) &= \int_s^t e^{2\xi_i(\sigma(u))}du, \quad i = 1,\dots,m, \\ A^\sigma_{V,j}(s,t) &= \int_s^t e^{2\vartheta_j(\sigma(u))}du, \quad j = 1,\dots,n, \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A^\sigma_{M,\Sigma}(s,t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m A^\sigma_{M,j}(s,t), & A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(s,t) &= \sum_{j=1}^n A^\sigma_{V,j}(s,t), \\ A^\sigma_{M,\Pi}(s,t) &= \prod_{i=1}^m A^\sigma_{M,j}(s,t), & A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(s,t) &= \prod_{j=1}^n A^\sigma_{V,j}(s,t). \end{aligned}$$

We also set

$$\begin{aligned} A^\sigma_{N,\Pi}(0,t) &= A^\sigma_{M,\Pi}(0,t)A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(0,t), \\ A^\sigma_{N,\Sigma}(0,t) &= A^\sigma_{M,\Sigma}(0,t) + A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let

$$\phi_k(m) = \left(\frac{\|m\|^{1/k}}{\|m\|^{1/k}+1} \right)^k, \quad m \in M. \tag{1.11}$$

We also let k_o be the smallest non-negative integer such that

$$(\mathrm{ad}_X)^{k_o+1}\big|_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0, \, \forall\, X \in \mathfrak{v}. \tag{1.12}$$

Note that if $k_o = 0$, then $\mathfrak{v}$ centralizes $\mathfrak{m}$; hence N is abelian. Thus our hypotheses imply that $k_o > 0$.

Theorem 1.5. *There are positive constants C, D such that for all $(m,v) \in N$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & A^\sigma_{N,\Pi}(0,t)^{1/2}P^\sigma(0,t)(m,v) \\ & \qquad \le C(\|m\|^{1/(2k_0)} + 1 + A_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)^{1/2}) \\ & \qquad\qquad \times \exp\left(-D\frac{\|v\|^2}{A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)} - D\frac{\|m\|^{1/k_0}}{A^\sigma_{N,\Sigma}(0,t)}\phi_{2k_0}(m) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is based on our third main result, Corollary 3.5, that allows us to decompose the diffusion defined by $P^\sigma(t,s)$ on a general nilpotent Lie group N into vertical and horizontal components, in much the same way that formula (1.7) decomposes the diffusion defined by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ on S.

1.3. **Structure of the paper.**

The outline of the rest of the paper is as follows:

In §2.1 we recall some basic facts about exponential functionals of Brownian motion and some estimates for the joint distribution of the maximum of the absolute value of the Brownain motion on the time interval $[0,t]$ and its position at time t. Next we discuss the skew-product formula for the diffusion generated by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and we show how it splits into vertical and horizontal components; that is into a Brownian motion with drift in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and the evolution process in N. We give a formula for the evolution kernels in the special case that the nilpotent group is $\mathbb{R}^n$. Finally we recall the construction of the Poisson kernel ν on N and its extension $\nu^a(x)$ to $N\times\mathbb{R}^k$.

In §3 we give a skew-product formula for split groups. This result is crucial for the proof of Theorem 1.5 in §7. In §5 and §6 we consider diffusions on M and V respectively.

In §8, we prove Theorem 1.3, and finally, in §9 we compare the estimate from Theorem 1.3 with our previous results from [17, 18].

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Exponential functionals of Brownian motion.**

Let b_s, $s\geq 0$, be the Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$ staring from $a\in\mathbb{R}$ and normalized so that

$$\mathbf{E}_a f(b_s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x+a)\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi s}}e^{-x^2/4s}dx. \tag{2.1}$$

Hence $\mathbf{E}b_s=a$ and $\operatorname{Var} b_s=2s$.

Remark. Our normalization of the Brownian motion b_s is different than that typically used by probabilists who tend to assume that $\operatorname{Var} b_s=s$.

For $d>0$ and $\mu>0$ we define the following exponential functional

$$I_{d,\mu}=\int_0^\infty e^{d(b_s-\mu s)}ds. \tag{2.2}$$

Such functionals are called *perpetual functionals* in financial mathematics where they play an important role (see e.g. [11, 23]).

Theorem 2.1 (Dufresne, [11])**.** *Let $b_0=0$. Then the functional $I_{2,\mu}$ is distributed as $(4\gamma_{\mu/2})^{-1}$, where $\gamma_{\mu/2}$ denotes a gamma random variable with parameter $\mu/2$, i.e., $\gamma_{\mu/2}$ has a density $(1/\Gamma(\mu/2))x^{\frac{\mu}{2}-1}e^{-x}1_{[0,+\infty)}(x)$.*

The proof of Dufresne's theorem can be found in many places. See for example [10, 9] or the survey paper [14] and the references therein.

The *inverse gamma density* on $(0,+\infty)$, with respect to dx, is defined by

$$h_{\mu,\gamma} = C_{\mu,\gamma} x^{-\mu-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{x}} 1_{(0,+\infty)}(x).$$

As a corollary of Theorem 2.1, by scaling the Brownian motion and changing the variable, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $b_0 = a$. Then*

$$\mathbf{E}_a f(I_{d,\mu}) = c_{d,\mu} e^{\mu a} \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{-\mu/d} \exp\left(-\frac{e^{da}}{d^2 x}\right) \frac{dx}{x}.$$

In particular, $I_{2,\mu}$ has the inverse gamma density $h_{\mu/2,1/4}$.

We will also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\sigma_u = b_u - 2\alpha u$ be the k-dimensional Brownian motion with a drift, $d > 0$, and let $\ell \in (\mathbb{R}^k)^*$ be such that $\ell(\alpha) > 0$. Then*

$$\mathbf{E}_a f\left(\int_0^\infty e^{d\ell(\sigma_u)} du\right) = c_{d,\ell,\alpha} e^{\gamma \ell(a)} \int_0^\infty f(u) u^{-\gamma/d} \exp\left(-\frac{e^{d\ell(a)}}{2d^2\ell^2 u}\right) \frac{du}{u},$$

where $\gamma = 2\ell(\alpha)/\ell^2$.

In particular, the functional $\int_0^\infty e^{d\ell(b_u - 2\alpha u)} du$ has the inverse gamma density $h_{2\ell(\alpha)/(d\ell^2),1/(d^2\ell^2)}$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.2. See [17, Lemma 5.4] for details. □

2.2. **Some probabilistic lemmas.** If b_t is the Brownian motion starting from $x \in \mathbb{R}$ then the corresponding Wiener measure on the space $C([0,\infty),\mathbb{R})$ is denoted by $\mathbf{W}_x$. The following lemma follows from formula 1.1.4 on p. 125 in [1].

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $x \leq y$,*

$$\mathbf{W}_x(\sup_{0<s<t} |b_s| \geq y) \leq c e^{-(y-x)^2/4t}.$$

The following two equalities follows easily from the reflection principle for the Brownian motion [13].

Lemma 2.5. *If $x > a > 0$, then*

$$\mathbf{W}_0(\sup_{u\in[0,t]} b_u \geq a \text{ and } b_t \leq x) = 2\mathbf{W}_0(b_t > a) - \mathbf{W}_0(b_t > x),$$

whereas if $x < a$ with $a > 0$, then

$$\mathbf{W}_0(\sup_{u\in[0,t]} b_u \geq a \text{ and } b_t \leq x) = \mathbf{W}_0(b_t > 2a - x).$$

Let

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-u^2/4} du.$$

Lemma 2.6. *For $a \geq 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x < y$, and $t > 0$, let*

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \{-a \leq x < y \leq a\}, & R_2 &= \{x < y < -a\}, \\ R_3 &= \{a < x < y\}, & R_4 &= \{0 < x < a < y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{W}_0 \left(\sup_{u \in [0,t]} |b_u| \geq a \text{ and } b_t \in [x, y] \right) \leq$$

$$\begin{cases} 2\Phi(\frac{2a-x}{\sqrt{t}}) - 2\Phi(\frac{2a-y}{\sqrt{t}}) + 2\Phi(\frac{2a+y}{\sqrt{t}}) - 2\Phi(\frac{2a+x}{\sqrt{t}}), & \text{on } R_1, \\ 2\Phi(\frac{2a-x}{\sqrt{t}}) - 2\Phi(\frac{2a-y}{\sqrt{t}}) + \Phi(\frac{-x}{\sqrt{t}}) - \Phi(\frac{-y}{\sqrt{t}}), & \text{on } R_2, \\ \Phi(\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}) - \Phi(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}) + 2\Phi(\frac{2a+y}{\sqrt{t}}) - 2\Phi(\frac{2a+x}{\sqrt{t}}), \;\; a < x < y, & \text{on } R_3, \\ 2(1 - \Phi(\frac{a}{\sqrt{t}})) - \Phi(\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}) - \Phi(\frac{2a-x}{\sqrt{t}}) + \Phi(\frac{2a+x}{\sqrt{t}}) - \Phi(\frac{2a+y}{\sqrt{t}}), & \text{on } R_4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.5 by an easy calculation. □

Corollary 2.7. *Assume that $a > |n| + \delta$, $\delta > 0$, and $0 < \varepsilon/2 < \delta$. Then*

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{W}_0 (\sup_{u \in [0,t]} |b_u| \geq a \text{ and } b_t \in [n - \varepsilon/2, n + \varepsilon/2]) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(e^{-(2a-n)^2/(4t)} + e^{-(2a+n)^2/(4t)} \right).$$

Proof. Let $x = n - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $y = n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Our hypotheses imply that $-a < x < y < a$. In particular

$$0 < (2a - y)/\sqrt{t} < (2a - x)/\sqrt{t} \text{ and } 0 < (2a + x)/\sqrt{t} < (2a + y)/\sqrt{t}.$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.6,

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{W}_0 (\sup_{u \in [0,t]} |b_u| \geq a \text{ and } b_t \in [n - \varepsilon/2, n + \varepsilon/2]) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(e^{-(2a-x)^2/(4t)} + e^{-(2a+y)^2/(4t)} \right)$$

proving the Corollary. □

Corollary 2.8. *Assume that $a \geq 0$. Then*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{W}_0 (\sup_{u \in [0,t]} |b_u| \geq a \text{ and } b_t \in [n - \varepsilon/2, n + \varepsilon/2]) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-(2a-|n|)^2/(4t)} & |n| < a, \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-n^2/(4t)} & 0 \leq a \leq |n|. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first statement is immediate from Corollary 2.7. For the second statement note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}_0(b_t \geq a \text{ and } b_t \in [n-\varepsilon/2, n+\varepsilon/2])&\\ \leq \mathbf{W}_0(b_t \in [n-\varepsilon/2, n+\varepsilon/2])&\\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{n-\varepsilon/2}^{n+\varepsilon/2} e^{-u^2/(4t)} du&\end{aligned}$$

from which the lemma follows. □

2.3. Disintegration of the diffusion into vertical and horizontal components - skew-product formula.

2.3.1. *Vertical component.* Let $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ be defined by (1.6). The process σ_t in $\mathbb{R}^k$ generated by the operator Δ_α, i.e, the Brownian motion with drift -2α, is called a *vertical component* of the diffusion generated by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$.

2.3.2. *Horizontal component.* Let $C_\infty(N)$ be the space of continuous functions f on N for which $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)$ exists. For $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{n}$, we let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ denote the corresponding right-invariant vector field. For a multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$, $i_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a basis $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_m$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ we write $\mathcal{X}^I = \mathcal{X}_1^{i_1} \dots \mathcal{X}_m^{i_m}$. For $k, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ we define

$$C^{(k,l)}(N) = \{f : \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^I \mathcal{X}^J f \in C_\infty(N) \text{ for every} |I| < k+1 \text{ and } |J| < l+1\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|^0_{(k,l)} &= \sup_{|I|=k, |J|=l} \|\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^I \mathcal{X}^J f\|_\infty,\\ \|f\|_{(k,l)} &= \sup_{|I|\leq k, |J|\leq l} \|\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^I \mathcal{X}^J f\|_\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

In particular $C^{(0,k)}(N)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|f\|_{0,2}$.

For a continuous function $\sigma : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^k$, we consider the operator L^{σ_t} where L^a is as in (1.8). Let $\{U^\sigma(s,t) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ be the (unique) family of bounded operators on $C_\infty(N)$ which satisfies

i) $U^\sigma(s,s) = \mathrm{Id}$, for all $s \geq 0$,
ii) $\lim_{h\to 0} U^\sigma(s, s+h) f = f$ in $C_\infty(N)$,
iii) $U^\sigma(s,r) U^\sigma(r,t) = U^\sigma(s,t)$, $0 \leq s \leq r \leq t$,
iv) $\partial_s U^\sigma(s,t) f = -L^{\sigma_s} U^\sigma(s,t) f$ for every $f \in C^{(0,2)}(N)$,
v) $\partial_t U^\sigma(s,t) f = U^\sigma(s,t) L^{\sigma_t} f$ for every $f \in C^{(0,2)}(N)$,
vi) $U^\sigma(s,t) : C^{(0,2)}(N) \to C^{(0,2)}(N)$.

The operator $U^\sigma(s,t)$ is a convolution operator with a probability measure with a smooth density, i.e., $U^\sigma(s,t) f = f * P^\sigma(t,s)$. In particular, $U^\sigma(s,t)$ is left invariant. By iii), $P^\sigma(t,r) * P^\sigma(r,s) = P^\sigma(t,s)$ for $t > r > s$. Existence of $U^\sigma(s,t)$ follows from [20]. Notice that from above properties it follows that

vii) $U^{\sigma\circ\theta_u}(s,t) = U^\sigma(s+u,t+u)$, where $\sigma\circ\theta_u(s) = \sigma_{s+u}$ is the shift operator.

In fact $V(s,t) := U^\sigma(s+u,t+u)$ satisfies i) - vi) with the operator $L^{\sigma_{t+u}}$. Hence, the result follows from the uniqueness of $U^{\sigma_{t+u}}(s,t)$.

A stochastic process (evolution) in N corresponding to transition probabilities $P^\sigma(t,s)$ is called a *horizontal component* of the diffusion generated by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$.

2.3.3. *Skew-product formula.* Let $U^\sigma(s,t)$ and $P^\sigma(t,s)$ be as in §2.3.2. For $f\in C_c(N\times\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $t\geq 0$, we put

$$T_t f(x,a) = \mathbf{E}_a U^\sigma(0,t) f(x,\sigma_t) = \mathbf{E}_a f *_N P^\sigma(t,0)(x,\sigma_t), \tag{2.5}$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to the distribution of the process σ_t (Brownian motion with drift) in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with the generator Δ_α. The operator $U^\sigma(0,t)$ acts on the first variable of the function f (as a convolution operator).

We have the following

Theorem 2.9. *The family T_t defined in* (2.5) *is the semigroup of operators generated by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$. That is*

$$\partial_t T_t f = \mathcal{L}_\alpha T_t f$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to 0} T_t f = f.$$

We refer to formula (2.5) as the *skew-product formula.* By now the proof of the above statement is standard and it goes along the lines of [8] with obvious changes. (In §3 below a more general skew-product formula is proved.)

In fact everything in this section is valid for a general nilpotent Lie groups N which is not assumed to be meta-abelian. Furthermore, Theorem 2.9 is a special case of the following general situation. Consider the product of two manifolds $M\times N$. Let L_1 be a differential operator acting on M and let for every $a\in M$, $L_2(a)$ be an operator acting on N. Let L be a *skew-product* of L_1 and $L_2(a)$. That is L is the operator on $N\times M$ of the form

$$L = L_1 + L_2(a).$$

Then it is natural to expect that the semi-group T_t generated by L is given by (2.5), where the expectation is taken with respect to the diffusion σ_t on M generated by L_1 and $U^\sigma(0,t)$ is the evolution generated by $L_2(\sigma_t)$. The idea of such a decomposition of the diffusion on $N\times M$ goes back to [15, 16] (see also [21]).

2.4. **Evolution equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$.** Let

$$L^t = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t)\partial_i\partial_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j(t)\partial_j \tag{2.6}$$

be a differential operator on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$ and $a(t) = [a_{ij}(t)]$ is a symmetric, positive definite matrix and the a_{ij} and b_j belong to $C([0,\infty),\mathbb{R})$. For $s \leq t$, let $U(s,t)$ be the unique family of operators on $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying conditions (i)-(vi) on page 10 where L^{σ_t} is replaced by L^t. Our goal in this section is to compute the corresponding convolution kernel $P(s,t)$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij}(s,t) &= \int_s^t a_{ij}(u)du \equiv A_{i,j} \\ B_j(s,t) &= \int_s^t b_j(u)du \equiv B_j. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.10. *Let $A = [A_{ij}]$ and $B = (B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n)^t$. Then*

$$P(t,s)(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(\det A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(A^{-1}(x-B))\cdot(x-B)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. For $f_o \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we write

$$f(x,t) = U(s,t)f_o(x) = f_o * P_{t,s}(x).$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(x,t) &= L^t f(x,t), \quad t > s, \\ f(x,s) &= f_o(x). \end{aligned}$$

We form the Fourier transform concluding

$$\partial_t \hat{f}(\xi,t) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} ib_j\xi_j\right)\hat{f}(\xi,t).$$

The above equation is easily solved:

$$\hat{f}(\xi,t) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} A_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} iB_j\xi_j\right)\hat{f}_o(\xi)$$

Forming the inverse transformation proves the proposition. $\square$

2.5. **Poisson kernel.** We return to the context of §1.2. Let μ_t be the semigroup of probability measures on $S = N \rtimes \mathbb{R}^k$ generated by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$. It is known [5, 9] that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}(\pi(\check{\mu}_t), f) = (\nu, f),$$

where π denotes the projection from S onto N and $(\check{\mu}, f) = (\mu, \check{f})$, $\check{f}(x) = f(x^{-1})$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and let μ be a measure on N. We define

$$(\mu^a, f) = (\mu, f \circ \mathrm{Ad}(a)).$$

For $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have

$$\nu^a(x) = \nu(a^{-1}ha)\chi(a)^{-1}, \quad x \in N, \tag{2.8}$$

where χ is as in (1.4).

It is an easy calculation to check that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}(\pi(\check{\mu}_t)^a, f) = (\nu^a, f). \tag{2.9}$$

We need the following

Lemma 2.11. *We have*

$$(\pi(\check{\mu}_t)^a, f) = (\mathbf{E}_a \check{P}^\sigma(t,0), f).$$

Proof. This equality follows from Theorem 2.9. See [17, Lemma 4.1] for the details. ☐

By (2.9) and Lemma 2.11 it follows that

$$(\nu^a, f) = \lim_{t\to\infty}(\pi(\check{\mu}_t)^a, f) = \lim_{t\to\infty}(\mathbf{E}_a \check{P}^\sigma(t,0), f). \tag{2.10}$$

3. Diffusions on split groups

In this section we follow the notation of §1.2 except that we do not assume that either M or V is necessarily abelian. We let $S_0 = V \rtimes S$ considered as a subgroup of S. We denote the general element of S by

$$g = (m, v, a) = (m, x), \quad g \in S, \ m \in M, \ v \in V, \ x \in S_o.$$

Choose n_o and m_o so that $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_{m_o}\}$ and $\{X_1, \dots, X_{n_o}\}$, respectively, generate $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{v}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} L_M &= \sum_{i=1}^{m_o}(X_i^2 + c_i X_i), \\ L_V &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_o}(Y_i^2 + d_i Y_i), \\ L_N &= L_M + L_V, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{R}$. We then define

$$\begin{aligned} D_o &= \Delta_\alpha + L_V, \\ D &= \Delta_\alpha + L_N \end{aligned}$$

considered as elements of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{s})$ where Δ_α is as in (1.2).

For $g \in S$ and $X \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{s})$ we let

$$X^g = \mathrm{Ad}(g)X.$$

We consider the diffusion defined by D_o on S_o as the vertical component and that defined by L_M on M as the horizontal. Explicitly, for any topological space X we let $\Omega_X^r = C([r,\infty), X)$ and $\Omega_X = \Omega_X^0$. Then for $\tau \in \Omega_{S_o}$ the operator $L_M^t = L_M^{\tau(t)}$, considered as a left-invariant operator on $C^\infty(M)$ produces an operator $U_M^\tau(s,t)$ on $C_\infty(M)$, $0 \le s \le t$ as on p. 10. We write

$$U_M^\tau(s,t)f(x) = \int_M K_{t,s}^{M,\tau}(y,x)f(y)dy,$$

where dy is Haar measure on M. The equality

$$U_M^\tau(s,r)U_M^\tau(r,t) = U_M^\tau(s,t), \qquad 0 \le s < r < t$$

is equivalent with the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation, [2, (15.8), p. 320],

$$\int_M K_{t,r}^{M,\tau}(y,z)K_{r,s}^{M,\tau}(z,x)dz = K_{t,s}^{M,\tau}(y,x).$$

For each $r \ge 0$ and $m \in M$, there is a corresponding Markov process with state space Ω_M^r and a probability measure $\mathbf{W}_{m;r}^{M,\tau}$. We omit r from the notation when it is 0.

In particular, for $t_n > t_{n-1} > \ldots > t_1 > r$ and the function $f(\tau) = h(\tau(t_n), \tau(t_{n-1}), \ldots, \tau(t_1))$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_M^r} f(\tau)d\mathbf{W}_{m;r}^{M,\tau}(\tau) \\ &\quad = \int_{M^n} K_{t_n,t_{n-1}}^{M,\tau}(x_n, x_{n-1})\ldots K_{t_1,r}^{M,\tau}(x_1,m)h(x_n,x_{n-1},\ldots,x_1)dx_n\ldots dx_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Similarly, we denote the respective transition kernels for D_o, D, Δ_α on S_o, S and $\mathbb{R}^k$ by $K_{t,s}^o$, $K_{t,s}$, and $K_{t,s}^A$ respectively. The corresponding operators are

$$U^o(s,t) = e^{(t-s)D_o},\ U(s,t) = e^{(t-s)D},\ \text{and } U^A(s,t) = e^{(t-s)\Delta_\alpha}.$$

We denote the corresponding measures on $\Omega_{S_o}^r$, Ω_S^r and Ω_A^r by $\mathbf{W}_{x;r}^{S_o}$, $\mathbf{W}_{m,x;r}^S$, and $\mathbf{W}_{a;r}^A$ respectively where $x = (v,a) \in S_o$ and $m \in M$.

The following proposition is an extension of Theorem 2.9 to the case where S_o is non-abelian. The proof follows [8].

Proposition 3.1. *For* $f \in C_\infty(S)$,

$$U(0,t)f(m,x) = \int_{\Omega_M} (U_M^\tau(0,t)f)(m,\tau(t))d\mathbf{W}_x^{S_o}(\tau) \equiv T_{0,t}f(m,x).$$

In the proof of Proposition 3.1 we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2.

$$T_{0,t}f(m,x) = \int_0^t U^o(0,t-u)|_y[L_M^y|_m T_{0,u}f(m,y)](x)du + (U^o(0,t)f)(m,x),$$

where the subscript indicates the variable on which the operator operates.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(T_{0,t}f - U^o(0,t)f)(m,x)\\
&= \int U_M^\tau(0,t)f(m,\tau(t))d\mathbf{W}_x^{S_o}(\tau) - \int U_M^\tau(t,t)f(m,\tau(t))d\mathbf{W}_x^{S_o}(\tau)\\
&= \int U_M^\tau(t-u,t)\big|_{u=0}^{u=t} f(m,\tau(t))d\mathbf{W}_x^{S_o}(\tau)\\
&= -\int\int_0^t \partial_u U_M^\tau(t-u,t)f(m,\tau(t))dud\mathbf{W}_x^{S_o}(\tau)\\
&= \int_0^t\int L_M^{\tau(t-u)}U_M^\tau(t-u,t)f(m,\tau(t))d\mathbf{W}_x^{S_o}(\tau)du\\
&= \int_0^t\int L_M^{\tau\circ\theta_{t-u}(0)}U_M^{\tau\circ\theta_{t-u}}(0,u)f(m,\tau\circ\theta_{t-u}(u))d\mathbf{W}_x^{S_o}(\tau)du\\
&= \int_0^t\int_{S_o}\int L_M^y U_M^\tau(0,u)f(m,\tau(u))d\mathbf{W}_y^{S_o}(\tau)K_{(t-u)}^o(x,y)dydu\\
&= \int_0^t U^o(0,t-u)|_y[L_M^y|_m T_u f(m,y)](x)du.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3.1. From Lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t T_{0,t}(f)(m,x) =& \partial_t \int_0^t U^o(0,t-u)|_y[L_M^y|_m T_{0,u}f(m,y)](x)du\\
&+ \partial_t(U^o(0,t)f)(m,x)\\
=& L_M^x|_m T_{0,t}f(m,x) + D^o(T_{0,t}f - U^o(0,t)f)(m,x)\\
&+ D^oU^o(0,t)f(m,x)\\
=& \overline{L}_M T_{0,t}f(m,x) + D^oT_{0,t}f(m,x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{L}_M$ is L_M considered as a left invariant operator on S. This proves Proposition 3.1. □

We may express $\mathbf{W}^S_{m,x}$ in terms of $\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_x$ and $\mathbf{W}^\tau_m$. Recall that for $\tau \in \Omega_{S_o}$, $L^t_M = L^{\tau(t)}_M$.

Let $f \in C(S)$. Then, by Proposition 3.1, we have[3]

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega_S} f(\tau(t))d\mathbf{W}^S_{m,x}(\tau) &= \int_{M\times S_o} K_{t,0}(m,x;l,y)f(l,y)dldy\\ &= \int_{\Omega_{S_o}}\left(\int_M K^{M,\eta}_{t,0}(m;l)f(l,\eta(t))dl\right)d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_x(\eta) \qquad (3.2)\\ &= \int_{\Omega_{S_o}}\int_{\Omega_M} f(\mu(t),\eta(t))d\mathbf{W}^{M,\eta}_m(\mu)d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_x(\eta).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\mu,\eta) \in \Omega_S$. This suggests the following:

Theorem 3.3.

$$\int_{\Omega_S} f(\tau)d\mathbf{W}^S_{m,x}(\tau) = \int_{\Omega_{S_o}}\int_{\Omega_M} f(\mu,\eta)d\mathbf{W}^{M,\eta}_m(\mu)d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_x(\eta). \qquad (3.3)$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{W}^S_{m,x}(\mu,\eta) = \mathbf{W}^{M,\eta}_m(\mu)\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_x(\eta).$$

Proof. We have the following proposition, where $\mathbf{W}_{w;s}$ is the measure corresponding to any general Markov process $\xi(t)$ on Ω^s_X (with $\xi(s) = w$). This is a restatement and generalization of Lemma 4.1.4, p. 189 from [19].

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that for $s < t$,*

$$f(\tau) = h(\tau|_{[s,t]}, \tau|_{[t,\infty)}).$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega^s_X} f(\tau)d\mathbf{W}_{w,s}(\tau) = \int_{\Omega^s_X}\int_{\Omega^t_X} h(\tilde{\psi},\psi)d\mathbf{W}_{\tilde{\psi}(t),t}(\psi)d\mathbf{W}_{w,s}(\tilde{\psi}).$$

Let $g = (m,x)$. The right hand side of (3.3) defines a measure on Ω_S which we temporarily denote $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^S_g$. The sequence of equalities (3.2) prove that $\mathbf{W}^S_g(f) = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^S_g(f)$ for $f(\tau) = h(\tau(t))$.

Suppose that

$$f(\tau) = h(\tau(t_1), \tau(t_2)).$$

---

[3] dl denotes *right*-invariant Haar measure on S_o. Hence $dldm$ is right-invariant Haar measure on S. Expressing densities with respect to right-invariant measure is not a problem as long as we do not write our kernels as convolutions. It has the convenience that the measures split in the semi-direct product decomposition.

Then with $\tau = (\mu, \eta)$, $w = (m, x)$ and $0 < t_1 < t_2$,

(3.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_S} h(\tau(t_1), \tau(t_2)) d\mathbf{W}^S_w(\tau) = \int_{\Omega_S} \int_{\Omega_S^{t_1}} h(\tilde{\tau}(t_1), \tau(t_2)) d\mathbf{W}^S_{\tilde{\tau}(t_1),t_1}(\tau) d\mathbf{W}^S_w(\tilde{\tau}) \\
&\quad = \int_{\Omega_{S_o}} \int_{\Omega_M} \int_{\Omega_S^{t_1}} h(\tilde{\mu}(t_1), \tilde{\eta}(t_1), \tau(t_2)) d\mathbf{W}^S_{\tilde{\tau}(t_1),t_1}(\tau) d\mathbf{W}^{M,\tilde{\eta}}_m(\tilde{\mu}) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_x(\tilde{\eta}) \\
&\quad = \int_{\Omega_{S_o}} \int_{\Omega_{S_o}^{t_1}} \int_{\Omega_M} \int_{\Omega_M^{t_1}} h(\tilde{\mu}(t_1), \tilde{\eta}(t_1), \mu(t_2), \eta(t_2)) \\
&\qquad \cdot d\mathbf{W}^{M,\eta}_{\tilde{\mu}(t_1),t_1}(\mu) d\mathbf{W}^{M,\tilde{\eta}}_m(\tilde{\mu}) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_{\tilde{\eta}(t_1),t_1}(\eta) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_x(\tilde{\eta}).
\end{aligned}
$$

We wish to combine (3.4) into a single η integral. We write (3.4) as

$$\int_{\Omega_S} h(\tau(t_1), \tau(t_2)) d\mathbf{W}^S_w(\tau) = \int_{\Omega_{S_o}} \int_{\Omega_{S_o}^{t_1}} H(\tilde{\eta}, \eta) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_{\tilde{\eta}(t_1),t_1}(\eta) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_x(\tilde{\eta}),$$

where

$$H(\tilde{\eta}, \eta) = \int_{\Omega_M} \int_{\Omega_M^{t_1}} h(\tilde{\mu}(t_1), \tilde{\eta}(t_1), \mu(t_2), \eta(t_2)) d\mathbf{W}^{M,\eta}_{\tilde{\mu}(t_1),t_1}(\mu) d\mathbf{W}^{M,\tilde{\eta}}_m(\tilde{\mu}).$$

As a function of η, h depends only on $\eta|_{[t_1,\infty)}$. The $\tilde{\eta}$ dependence is also not problem since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_M} h(\tilde{\mu}(t_1), \tilde{\eta}(t_1), \mu(t_2), \eta(t_2)) d\mathbf{W}^{M,\tilde{\eta}}_m(\tilde{\mu}) \\
&\qquad\qquad = \int_{S_o} h(y, \tilde{\eta}(t_1), \mu(t_2), \eta(t_2)) K^{M,\tilde{\eta}}_{t_1,0}(m, y) dy
\end{aligned}
$$

which depends on $\tilde{\eta}|_{[0,t_1]}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_S} h(\tau(t_1), \tau(t_2)) d\mathbf{W}^S_w(\tau) = \int_{\Omega_{S_o}} \int_{\Omega_{S_o}^{t_1}} H(\tilde{\eta}, \eta) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_{\tilde{\eta}(t_1),t_1}(\eta) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_x(\tilde{\eta}) \\
&\quad = \int_{\Omega_{S_o}} H(\eta, \eta) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_w(\eta) \\
&\quad = \int_{\Omega_{S_o}} \int_{\Omega_M} \int_{\Omega_M^{t_1}} h(\eta(t_1), \tilde{\mu}(t_1), \eta(t_2), \mu(t_2)) \\
&\qquad \cdot d\mathbf{W}^{M,\eta}_{\tilde{\mu}(t_1),t_1}(\mu) d\mathbf{W}^{M,\eta}_m(\tilde{\mu}) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_w(\eta) \\
&\quad = \int_{\Omega_{S_o}} \int_{\Omega_M} h(\eta(t_1), \mu(t_1), \eta(t_2), \mu(t_2)) d\mathbf{W}^{M,\eta}_m(\mu) d\mathbf{W}^{S_o}_w(\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. The general case follows similarly. □

For $\sigma \in \Omega^A$, and $(m,x) \in M \times V$, let $\mathbf{W}_x^{V,\sigma}$, $\mathbf{W}_{m,x}^{N,\sigma}$ be the measures on Ω^N and Ω^V respectively defined similarly to the definition of $\mathbf{W}_m^{M,\eta,\sigma}$.

Corollary 3.5. *For a.e. σ with respect to $\mathbf{W}_a^A$ and $(\mu,\gamma) \in \Omega^M \times \Omega^V$,*

$$\mathbf{W}_{m,x}^{N,\sigma}(\mu,\gamma) = \mathbf{W}_m^{M,\gamma,\sigma}(\mu)\mathbf{W}_x^{V,\sigma}(\gamma).$$

Proof. Theorem 3.3 implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{m,x,a}^{S}(\mu,\gamma,\sigma) &= \mathbf{W}_m^{M,\gamma,\sigma}(\mu)\mathbf{W}_{x,a}^{S_o}(\gamma,\sigma) \\ &= \mathbf{W}_m^{M,\gamma,\sigma}(\mu)\mathbf{W}_x^{V,\sigma}(\gamma)\mathbf{W}_a^{A}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Theorem 3.3 also implies

$$\mathbf{W}_{m,x,a}^{S}(\mu,\gamma,\sigma) = \mathbf{W}_{m,x}^{N,\sigma}(\mu,\gamma)\mathbf{W}_a^{A}(\sigma)$$

which proves the corollary. ☐

The following result is the analogue of the skew-product formula (2.5).

Corollary 3.6. *For a.e. σ with respect to $\mathbf{W}_a^A$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_N K_{t,0}^{N,\sigma}(m,x;m_1,x_1)f(m_1,x_1)\,dm_1dx_1 \\ &\qquad\qquad = \int_M K_{t,0}^{M,\gamma,\sigma}(m,m_1)f(m_1,\gamma_t)\,dm_1\,d\mathbf{W}_x^{V,\sigma}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is immediate from Corollary 3.5 and (3.1) with $n=1$. ☐

4. Meta-abelian groups

Let notation be as in §1.2. We consider a family of automorphisms $\{\Phi(a)\}_{a\in\mathbb{R}^k}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$, that leaves $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{v}$ invariant. We identify linear transformations on $\mathfrak{n}$ with $(m+n)\times(m+n)$ matrices, allowing us to write

$$\Phi(a) = \begin{bmatrix} S(a) & 0 \\ 0 & T(a) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S(a) &= \operatorname{diag}\left[e^{\xi_1(a)},\dots,e^{\xi_m(a)}\right], \\ T(a) &= \operatorname{diag}\left[e^{\vartheta_1(a)},\dots,e^{\vartheta_n(a)}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the diagonal entries of $S(a)$ and $T(a)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} s_i(a) &= e^{\xi_i(a)},\ i=1,\dots,m, \\ t_j(a) &= e^{\vartheta_j(a)},\ j=1,\dots,n. \end{aligned}$$

Let σ be a continuous function from $[0,+\infty)$ to $A=\mathbb{R}^k$, and denote

$$\Phi^\sigma(t) = \Phi(\sigma(t)),\ S^\sigma(t) = S(\sigma(t)),\ T^\sigma(t) = T(\sigma(t)). \tag{4.1}$$

For $Z \in \mathfrak{n}$ let

$$Z(t) = \Phi^\sigma(t)Z,$$

and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_M^\sigma(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^m Y_j(t)^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_V^\sigma(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^n X_j(t)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

thought of as left-invariant differential operators on M and V respectively.

For $v \in V$ let

$$\mathcal{L}_M^\sigma(t)^v = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\mathrm{Ad}(v)Y_j(t)\right)^2 . \tag{4.3}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_N^{\sigma(t)} f(m,v) = \mathcal{L}_V(t)|_v f(m,v) + \mathcal{L}_M(t)^v|_m f(m,v), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

is a family of left invariant operators on N depending on $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Our aim is to estimate the evolution kernel $P^\sigma(t,s)$ for the time dependent operator $\mathcal{L}_N^{\sigma(t)}$.

5. Evolution on M

We choose coordinates y_i for M for which Y_i corresponds to $\partial_i = \partial_{y_i}$, $1 \le i \le m$. Let $\eta \in C([0,\infty), V)$ and consider the evolution on M generated by the time dependent operator

$$\mathcal{L}_M^\sigma(t)^\eta = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\mathrm{Ad}(\eta(t))Y_j(t)\right)^2 .$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\eta(t))Y_j(t) = \mathrm{Ad}(\eta(t))\Phi(t)Y_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_{j,k}(t)Y_k,$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\mathrm{Ad}(\eta(t))Y_j(t)\right)^2 &= \sum_{k,l=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \psi_{k,j}(t)\psi_{l,j}(t)Y_kY_l \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^m (\psi(t)\psi(t)^*)_{kl}Y_kY_l, \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(t) = [\psi_{i,j}(t)]$ is the matrix of of the operator $\mathrm{Ad}(\eta(t))\Phi^\sigma(t)|_M$. Thus the matrix $[a_{ij}]$ from (2.6) for the operator $\mathcal{L}_M^\sigma(t)^\eta$ is

$$[a_M^{\sigma,\eta}(t)] = 2[\mathrm{Ad}(\eta(t))S^\sigma(t)][\mathrm{Ad}(\eta(t))S^\sigma(t)]^*,$$

where the adjoint is in the y_j coordinates. Let

$$A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(s,t) = \int_s^t a_M^{\sigma,\eta}(u)du.$$

For a $d \times d$ invertible matrix A we set

$$\mathcal{B}(A)(x) = \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}x \cdot x \text{ and } \mathcal{D}(A) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\det A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It follows from Proposition 2.10 that the evolution kernel $K_{t,s}^{M,\sigma,\eta}$ for the operator $\mathcal{L}_M^\sigma(t)^\eta$ is Gaussian, and in our notation, is given by

$$K_{t,s}^{M,\sigma,\eta}(m^1,m^2) = \mathcal{D}(A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(t,s))e^{-\mathcal{B}(A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(t,s))(m^1-m^2)}, \quad m^1,m^2 \in M = \mathbb{R}^m.$$

We will need the following two lemmas:

Lemma 5.1. *Let A be a positive semi-definite symmetric matrix. Then*

$$\mathcal{B}(A)(x) \geq \frac{\|x\|^2}{2\|A\|},$$

where $\|A\|$ is the $\ell^2 \to \ell^2$-operator norm.

Proof. Let $A = C^2$, where $C^t = C$. Since $\|A\| = \max_i \lambda_i$ where $\lambda_i \geq 0$ are the eigenvalues of A, $\|C\| = \|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}(A)(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\|C^{-1}x\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|C\|^{-2}\|C\|^2\,\|C^{-1}x\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|C\|^{-2}\|x\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|A\|^{-1}\|x\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let M and D be square matrices and let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} M & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $\det M \neq 0$ *then* $\det A = \det M \det(D - CM^{-1}B)$.

Proof. See e.g. [24]. □

Now we prove an upper bound on $\mathcal{D}(A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(s,t))$ that is independent of η. *For simplicity of notation we identify M, V, and, N with $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{v}$, and $\mathfrak{n}$ using the exponential map.*

Lemma 5.3. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{D}(A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(s,t))^{-1/2} \leq C \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \int_s^t s_i^\sigma(u)^2 du \right)^{-1/2} = A_{M,\Pi}^\sigma(s,t)^{-1/2},$$

where $s_i^\sigma(t)$ are the entries of the diagonal matrix $S^\sigma(t)$ defined in (4.1).

Proof. We omit the t and σ dependence for the sake of simplicity. From the lower triangularity of the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{n}$, for $X \in \mathfrak{n} = N$,

$$\mathrm{ad}_X = \begin{bmatrix} X_o & 0 \\ v^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathrm{Ad}_X = e^{\mathrm{ad}_X} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{X_o} & 0 \\ v(X)^{\mathrm{t}} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the X_o is an $(m-1) \times (m-1)$-matrix and v is an $(m-1) \times 1$-column vector.

Then

$$\mathrm{Ad}_X S = e^{\mathrm{ad}_X} \begin{bmatrix} S_0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{X_o} S_o & 0 \\ v(X)^t S_o & s_m \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let

$$F^t = v(X)^t S_o.$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{Ad}_X S (\mathrm{Ad}_X S)^t = \begin{bmatrix} e^{X_o} S_o S_o^t e^{X_o^t} & G \\ G^t & s_m^2 + |F|^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$G = e^{X_o} S_o F = e^{X_o} S_o S_o^t v(X).$$

Hence,

$$A^{\sigma,\eta}(s,t) = \begin{bmatrix} A_o & B \\ B^t & A + E \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$A_o = \int_s^t e^{X_o(u)} S_o(u) S_o(u)^t e^{X_o(u)^t} du, \qquad B = \int_s^t G(u) du,$$

$$A = \int_s^t s_m^2(u) du, \qquad E = \int_s^t |F(u)|^2 du.$$

From Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \det A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(s,t) &= (\det A_o)(A + E - B^t A_o^{-1} B) \\ &= (\det A_o) A + (\det A_o)(E - B^t A_o^{-1} B) \\ &= (\det A_o) A + \det \begin{bmatrix} A_o & B \\ B^t & E \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The determinant on the right is non-negative since it is the $s_m = 0$ case of formula (5.1). Hence,

$$\det A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(s,t) \geq A(\det A_o).$$

Our result follows by induction. $\square$

Now we estimate the operator norm of the matrix

$$A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(0,t)=\int_0^t [\mathrm{Ad}(\eta(u))S^\sigma(u)]\,[\mathrm{Ad}(\eta(u))S^\sigma(u)]^t\,du. \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\eta=\eta(u)=(\eta_1(u),\dots,\eta_n(u))$ be a continuous function. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that*

$$\|A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(0,t)\|\le C(1+\Lambda^\eta(0,t)^{2k_o})\sum_{j=1}^m\int_0^t s_j^\sigma(u)^2du,$$

where

$$\Lambda^\eta(s,t)=\sup_{s\le u\le t}\|\eta(u)\|.$$

Proof. We note first that for $X\in\mathfrak{n}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ad}_X\big|_{\mathfrak{m}}&=\sum_{j=0}^{k_o}\frac{\left(\mathrm{ad}_X\big|_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^j}{j!},\\ \|\,\mathrm{Ad}_X\big|_{\mathfrak{m}}\|&\le C(1+\|\,\mathrm{ad}_X\,\|)^{k_o}\\ &\le C'(1+\|X\|)^{k_o}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

Our result follows by bringing the norm inside the integral in (5.2). $\square$

6. Evolution on V

Recall that we identified V with $\mathbb{R}^n$. The matrix $T^\sigma(t)=\Phi^\sigma(t)|_V$ is of the form

$$T^\sigma(t)=\mathrm{diag}\left[e^{\vartheta_1(\sigma(t))},\dots,e^{\vartheta_n(\sigma(t))}\right],$$

where, $\vartheta_1,\dots,\vartheta_n\in(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. Now we consider the evolution process $\eta(t)$ on V generated by

$$\mathcal{L}_V^\sigma(t)=\sum_{j=1}^n X_j(t)^2=\sum_{j=1}^n(T^\sigma(t)X_j)^2$$

(see the notation introduced in (4.2) on p. 19). Thus, since $X_j=\partial_{v_j}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_V^\sigma(t)=\sum_{j=1}^n e^{2\vartheta_j(\sigma(t))}\partial_{v_j}^2.$$

The matrix $a_t=[a_{ij}(t)]$, defined in (2.6), for $\mathcal{L}_V^\sigma(t)$ is equal to

$$a_V^\sigma(t)=2T^\sigma(t)T^\sigma(t)^*=\mathrm{diag}\left[e^{2\vartheta_1(\sigma(t))},\dots,e^{2\vartheta_n(\sigma(t))}\right].$$

Let b_t be the 1-dimensional Brownian motion normalized so that

$$\mathbf{W}_x(b_t\in dy)=p_t(x,dy)=\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}}e^{-(x-y)^2/4t}dy.$$

Then, by (2.7),

$$K^{V,\sigma}_{s,t}(x,dz) = \prod_{1\le j\le n} p_{\int_s^t e^{2\vartheta_j(\sigma(u))}du}(x_j,dz_j). \tag{6.1}$$

Thus the process $\eta(t)$ generated by L^σ_V has coordinates $\eta_j(t)$ which are independent Brownian motions with time changed according to the clock governed by σ. Let

$$A^\sigma_V(0,t) = \int_0^t a^\sigma_V(u)du. \tag{6.2}$$

Since $A^\sigma_V(0,t)$ is diagonal we see

$$\begin{aligned}(\det A^\sigma_V(0,t))^{-1/2} &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \int_0^t e^{2\vartheta_j(\sigma(u))}du\right)^{-1/2}, \\ \|A^\sigma_V(0,t)\| &\le \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t e^{2\vartheta_j(\sigma(u))}du \\ &= A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t).\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

7. Estimate for the evolution on N

In this section we estimate the evolution kernel on $N = M \rtimes V$. Denote

$$P^\sigma(0,t)(m,v) := K^\sigma(0,t)(0,0;m,v).$$

The main result of this section is the following estimate where k_o is as in (1.12).

Theorem 7.1. *There are positive constants C, D such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&A^\sigma_{M,\Pi}(0,t)^{\frac12} A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(0,t)^{\frac12} P^\sigma(0,t)(m,v) \le \\ &C(\|m\|^{\frac{1}{2k_o}}+1)\exp\left(-\frac{D\|v\|^2}{A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)} - \frac{D\|m\|^2}{(\|m\|^{\frac{1}{2k_o}}+\|v\|+2)^{2k_o}A^\sigma_{M,\Sigma}(0,t)}\right) \\ &\qquad\qquad + CA^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)^{1/2}\exp\left(-D\frac{\|m\|^{\frac{1}{k_o}}+\|v\|^2}{A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We allow the constants C and D to change from line to line. By Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned}K^{M,\sigma,\eta}_{s,t}(m^1,m^2) =&\mathcal{D}(A^{\sigma,\eta}_M(t,s))e^{-\mathcal{B}(A^{\sigma,\eta}_M(s,t))(m^1-m^2)} \\ \le& CA^\sigma_{M,\Pi}(s,t)^{-1/2}e^{-\frac{\|m^1-m^2\|^2}{2\|A^{\sigma,\eta}_M(s,t)\|}}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

For $m^i \in M$ and $v^1 \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int K^\sigma(0,t)(m^1,v^1;m^2,y)\psi(y)\,dy &= \int K_{t,0}^{M,\sigma,\eta}(m^1,m^2)\psi(\eta(t))\,d\mathbf{W}_{v^1}^{V,\sigma}(\eta)\\ &\leq C A_{M,\Pi}^\sigma(0,t)^{-1/2}\int \psi(\eta(t))e^{-\frac{\|m^1-m^2\|^2}{2\|A_M^{\sigma,\eta}(0,t)\|}}\,d\mathbf{W}_{v^1}^{V,\sigma}(\eta).\end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 5.4,

$$\begin{aligned}(7.2)\quad & A_{M,\Pi}^\sigma(0,t)^{1/2}\int P^\sigma(0,t)(m,v)\psi(v)dv\\ &\qquad \leq C\int \exp\left(-\frac{D\|m\|^2}{(1+\Lambda^\eta(0,t)^{2k_o})A_{M,\Sigma}^\sigma(0,t)}\right)\psi(\eta(t))\,d\mathbf{W}_0^{V,\sigma}(\eta).\end{aligned}$$

For $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ given and $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$\psi_\varepsilon(\cdot) = \varepsilon^{-n}\mathbf{1}_{B_\varepsilon(v)}(\cdot),$$

where

$$B_\varepsilon(v) = \prod_{j=1}^n B_\varepsilon^1(v_j) \text{ and } B_\varepsilon^1(v_j) = [v_j - \varepsilon/2, v_j + \varepsilon/2].$$

We will estimate (7.2) with ψ_ε in place of ψ as ε tend to zero.

Let $\mathbf{E}_v^\eta$ denote expectation with respect to $d\mathbf{W}_v^{V,\sigma}(\eta)$. For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, define the sets of paths in V,

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{\eta : k-1 \leq \Lambda^\eta(0,t) = \sup_{0\leq u\leq t}\|\eta(u)\|_\infty < k\},$$

where by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ we denote the maximum norm $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1\leq i\leq n}|x_i|$. The integral on the right in (7.2) can be written as an infinite sum and estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}(7.3)\quad & \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mathbf{E}_0^\eta \exp\left(-\frac{D\|m\|^2}{(1+\Lambda^\eta(0,t)^{2k_o})A_{M,\Sigma}^\sigma(0,t)}\right)\psi_\varepsilon(\eta(t))\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_k}(\eta)\\ &\qquad \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{D\|m\|^2}{k^{2k_o}A_{M,\Sigma}^\sigma(0,t)}\right)\mathbf{E}_0^\eta\psi_\varepsilon(\eta(t))\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_k}(\eta).\end{aligned}$$

To simplify notation we introduce

$$\begin{aligned}c_k &= \exp\left(-\frac{D\|m\|^2}{k^{2k_o}A_{M,\Sigma}^\sigma(0,t)}\right),\\ \mathcal{E}_k(\varepsilon) &= \mathbf{E}_0^\eta\psi_\varepsilon(\eta(t))\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_k}(\eta) = \varepsilon^{-n}\mathbf{W}_0^{V,\sigma}\left(\eta \in \mathcal{A}_k \text{ and } \eta(t) \in B_\varepsilon(v)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Let $v \neq 0$ and choose $\varepsilon/2 < \|v\|_\infty$. If $\eta \in \mathcal{A}_k$ and $\eta(t) \in B_\varepsilon(v)$ then $\|\eta(t)\|_\infty \geq \|v\|_\infty - \varepsilon/2$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{E}_k = 0 \text{ for } k < \|v\|_\infty - \varepsilon/2. \tag{7.4}$$

Let, for $k = 1, 2, \dots,$

$$\Lambda^{\eta_j}(0,t) = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} |\eta_j(u)| \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_k^j = \{\eta : k-1 \leq \Lambda^{\eta_j}(0,t) < k\}.$$

Since the coordinates $\eta_j(t)$ of $\eta(t)$ are independent, we can estimate

(7.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{E}_k(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-n}\mathbf{W}_0^{V,\sigma}\left(\eta \in \mathcal{A}_k \text{ and } \eta(t) \in B_\varepsilon(v)\right)\\
\leq &\varepsilon^{-n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{W}_0^{V,\sigma}\left(\eta \in \mathcal{A}_k^j \text{ and } \eta(t) \in B_\varepsilon(v)\right)\\
= &\varepsilon^{-n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{W}_0^{V,\sigma}\left(\eta \in \mathcal{A}_k^j \text{ and } \eta_j(t) \in B_\varepsilon^1(v_j)\right)\mathbf{W}_0^{V,\sigma}\left(\eta_i(t) \in B_\varepsilon^1(v_i) \text{ for } i \neq j\right)\\
= &\sum_{j=1}^{n}\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbf{W}_0\left(\eta \in \mathcal{A}_k^j \text{ and } \eta_j(A_{1,j}^\sigma(0,t)) \in B_\varepsilon^1(v_j)\right) \times\\
&\times \prod_{i \neq j}\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbf{W}_0\left(\eta_i(A_{1,i}^\sigma(0,t)) \in B_\varepsilon^1(v_i)\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that* $a > \|v\|_\infty + \delta$, $\delta > 0$, *and* $0 < \varepsilon/2 < \delta$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon^{-n}\mathbf{W}_0^{V,\sigma}\Big(\sup_{u \in [0,t]}\|\eta(u)\|_\infty \geq a \text{ and } \eta(t) \in B_\varepsilon(v)\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad \leq A_{V,\Pi}^\sigma(0,t)^{-1/2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(e^{-(2a-v_j)^2/2A_{V,j}^\sigma(0,t)} + e^{-(2a+v_j)^2/2A_{V,j}^\sigma(0,t)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Reasoning as in (7.5) we see that the left side of the above inequality is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{i \neq j}A_{V,i}^\sigma(0,t)\right)^{-1/2}\\
&\qquad \times \varepsilon^{-1}\mathbf{W}_0\left(\sup_{u \in [0, A_{V,j}^\sigma(0,t)/2]}|\eta_j(u)| \geq a \text{ and } \eta_j(A_{V,j}^\sigma(0,t)) \in B_\varepsilon^1(v_j)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By our assumption it follows that for every j, $a > |v_j| + \delta$. Hence, the result follows by Corollary 2.7. □

Let

$$I = I(\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \mathcal{E}_k(\varepsilon).$$

Lemma 7.3. *We have*

$$A^\sigma_{M,\Pi}(0,t)^{\frac{1}{2}} P^\sigma(0,t)(m,v) \leq CI,$$

where

$$I = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \sum_{k \geq \|y\|_\infty} c_k \mathcal{E}_k(\varepsilon).$$

Furthermore, the sum converges uniformly in ε.

Proof. The inequality follows by letting ε tend to 0 in (7.3). The uniform convergence follows from Lemma 7.2. □

Let n_o be the smallest natural number such that $n_o \geq \|v\|_\infty$.

Lemma 7.4. *We have the following estimates*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \mathcal{E}_{n_o}(\varepsilon) \leq C A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(0,t)^{-1/2} e^{-\|v\|_\infty^2 / 2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)},$$

while for $k \geq n_o + 1$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \mathcal{E}_k(\varepsilon) \leq C A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(0,t)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(2(k-1) - \|v\|_\infty)^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)} \right).$$

Proof. Consider $\mathcal{E}_{n_0}$. Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be fixed. Suppose first that $|v_j| < n_o - 1$. Then, using Corollary 2.8, the j-th term in (7.5) (with $k = n_o$) is dominated by a multiple of

$$A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(0,t)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(2(n_o-1)-|v_j|)^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,j}(0,t)}} \prod_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{|v_i|^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,i}(0,t)}}.$$

Notice that $|v_j|$ cannot be equal to $\|v\|_\infty$. Thus we are done in this case. Now suppose that $|v_j| \geq n_o - 1$. Then, using Corollary 2.8 again, we dominate the j-th term in (7.5) by a multiple of

$$A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(0,t)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{|v_j|^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,j}(0,t)}} \prod_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{|v_i|^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,i}(0,t)}}.$$

The result for $\mathcal{E}_0$ follows.

Now we consider $\mathcal{E}_k$. Since $k \geq n_o + 1$ it follows that $k - 1 \geq |v_j|$ for every j. Therefore, by Corollary 2.8 the j-th term in (7.5) is estimated by

$$C A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(0,t)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(2(k-1)-|v_j|)^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,j}(0,t)}} \prod_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{|v_i|^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,i}(0,t)}}.$$

□

Next we estimate $I = \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \sum_{k\geq \|v\|_\infty} c_k \mathcal{E}_k(\varepsilon)$. From Lemma 7.4

$$\begin{aligned}
A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(0,t)^{1/2} I =& A^\sigma_{V,\Pi}(0,t)^{1/2} \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\left(c_{n_o}\mathcal{E}_{n_o}(\varepsilon) + \sum_{k\geq n_o+1} c_k\mathcal{E}_k(\varepsilon)\right)\\
\leq& C\exp\left(-\frac{\|v\|_\infty^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)} - \frac{D\|m\|^2}{n_o^{2k_o}A^\sigma_{M,\Sigma}(0,t)}\right)\\
&+\sum_{k=n_o+1}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{D\|m\|^2}{k^{2k_o}A^\sigma_{M,\Sigma}(0,t)} - \frac{(2(k-1)-\|v\|_\infty)^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

For a, b non-negative $a+b\geq\sqrt{a^2+b^2}$. Also, for $k\geq n_o+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(k-1)+(k-1)-\|v\|_\infty &\geq n_o + (k-1-\|v\|_\infty),\\
k-1-\|v\|_\infty &\geq n_o - \|v\|_\infty \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the summation in the last line of (7.6) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=n_o+1}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{D\|m\|^2}{k^{2k_o}A^\sigma_{M,\Sigma}(0,t)} - \frac{(n_o+(k-1)-\|v\|_\infty)^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}\right)\\
&\leq e^{-\frac{n_o^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}}\sum_{k=n_o+1}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{D\|m\|^2}{k^{2k_o}A^\sigma_{M,\Sigma}(0,t)} - \frac{(k-1-\|v\|_\infty)^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

We split the sum in (7.7) into two parts: $n_o+1\leq k\leq n_o+\|m\|^{1/(2k_o)}$ and $k>n_o+\|m\|^{1/(2k_o)}$, and estimate the corresponding parts by the following two terms.

$$\|m\|^{\frac{1}{2k_o}} e^{-\frac{n_o^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}}\exp\left(-\frac{D\|m\|^2}{(\|m\|^{\frac{1}{2k_o}}+\|v\|_\infty+2)^{2k_o}A^\sigma_{M,\Sigma}(0,t)}\right)$$

and

$$e^{-\frac{n_o^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}}\sum_{k\geq n_o+\|m\|^{\frac{1}{2k_o}}+1}\exp\left(-\frac{D\|m\|^2}{k^2A^\sigma_{M,\Sigma}(0,t)} - \frac{(k-1-n_o)^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}\right).$$

The above expression is bounded by

$$e^{-\frac{n_o^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}}\int_{\|m\|^{\frac{1}{2k_o}}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}}\,dr \leq \sqrt{2}A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)^{1/2}e^{-\frac{\|v\|_\infty^2}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}-\frac{\|m\|^{\frac{1}{k_o}}}{2A^\sigma_{V,\Sigma}(0,t)}}.$$

Theorem 7.1 follows. □

7.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** To simplify our notation we set

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= A^{\sigma}_{N,\Pi}(0,t)^{\frac{1}{2}},\\ A_1 &= A^{\sigma}_{V,\Sigma}(0,t),\\ A_2 &= A^{\sigma}_{M,\Sigma}(0,t),\\ A_3 &= A^{\sigma}_{N,\Sigma}(0,t). \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

It follows immediately from Theorem 7.1 that there are positive constants C and D such that in the region $\|v\| \leq \|m\|^{\frac{1}{2k_o}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 P^{\sigma}(0,t)(m,v) \\ &\leq C(\|m\|^{1/(2k_o)}+1)\exp\left(-D\frac{\|v\|^2}{A_1} - D\frac{\|m\|}{A_2}\phi_{2k_o}(m)\right) \\ &\qquad + CA_1^{1/2}\exp\left(-D\frac{\|m\|^{1/k_o}+\|v\|^2}{A_1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

while in the region $\|v\| \geq \|m\|^{\frac{1}{2k_o}}$,

$$A_0 P^{\sigma}(0,t)(m,v) \leq C(\|m\|^{1/(2k_o)}+1+A_1^{1/2})\exp\left(-D\frac{\|m\|^{1/k_o}+\|v\|^2}{A_1}\right).$$

Since $\phi_{2k_o}(m) \leq 1$, for all m, $A_1 \leq A_3$ and $A_2 \leq A_3$, Theorem 1.5 follows.

8. Upper estimate for the Poisson kernel

Let $\nu(x) = \nu(m,v)$, $m \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be the Poisson kernel on N for the operator $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ in (1.6). Recall that we assume that

$$\lambda(\alpha) > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Hence α belongs to the positive Weyl chamber A^+. The operator Δ_α generates the Brownian motion with drift -2α,

$$\sigma(u) = b(u) - 2\alpha u,$$

where $b(u)$ is the k-dimensional standard Brownian motion normalized so that $\operatorname{Var} b_u = 2u$.

Let ν^a be as in (2.8). We also use the notation introduced in (1.5).

Theorem 8.1. *For all compact subsets $K \not\ni e$ of N, all $\rho \in A^+$, and all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(K,\rho,\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all all $s < 0$,*

$$\nu^{s\rho}(x) \leq Ce^{-\rho_0(s\rho)}e^{(s/2)\gamma_\Theta(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Theta(\alpha)}e^{(s/2)\gamma_\Lambda(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Lambda(\alpha)} \quad \text{if } x \in K \cap \{\phi(m) \geq \varepsilon,\ \|v\| \geq \varepsilon\}, \tag{8.1}$$

$$\nu^{s\rho}(x) \leq Ce^{-\rho_0(s\rho)}e^{s\gamma_\Theta(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Theta(\alpha)} \quad \text{if } x \in K \cap \{\|v\| \geq \varepsilon\} \tag{8.2}$$

and

$$\nu^{s\rho}(x) \leq Ce^{-\rho_0(s\rho)}e^{s\gamma_\Lambda(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Lambda(\alpha)} \text{ if } x \in K \cap \{\phi(m) \geq \varepsilon\}, \tag{8.3}$$

where $\phi(m) = \phi_{2k_0}(m)$ *is defined in* (1.11).

Proof. First we consider elements $x = (m, v)$ from the set

$$K_1 = K \cap \{(m, v) : \phi(m) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Let A_j be defined as in (7.8) but with $t = \infty$. By Theorem 1.5, we have

$$\nu^{s\rho} \leq C\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}A_0^{-1}\exp\left(-\frac{D}{A_1} - \frac{D}{\tilde{A}_3}\right) + C\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}A_0^{-1}A_1^{1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{D}{A_1} - \frac{D}{A_3}\right). \tag{8.4}$$

We estimate the first expectation on the right.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}A_0^{-1}\exp\left(-\frac{D}{A_1} - \frac{D}{A_3}\right) \\ &\leq \left(\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}(A_0^{-1})^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp\left(-\frac{2D}{A_1} - \frac{2D}{A_3}\right)\right)^{1/2} \qquad (8.5) \\ &\leq \left(\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}(A_0^{-1})^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp\left(-\frac{4D}{A_1}\right)\right)^{1/4}\left(\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp\left(-\frac{4D}{A_3}\right)\right)^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{s\rho}(A_0^{-1})^2 =&\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}(A^\sigma_{M,\Pi})^{-1}(A^\sigma_{V,\Pi})^{-1} \\ =&e^{-2\rho_0(s\rho)}\mathbf{E}_0(A^\sigma_{M,\Pi})^{-1}(A^\sigma_{V,\Pi})^{-1} \\ \leq&e^{-2\rho_0(s\rho)}(\mathbf{E}_0(A^\sigma_{M,\Pi})^{-2})^{1/2}(\mathbf{E}_0(A^\sigma_{V,\Pi})^{-2})^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

Since, by Lemma 2.3, the expected values $\mathbf{E}_0(A^\sigma_{M,j})^{-d}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $\mathbf{E}_0(A^\sigma_{V,i})^{-d}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are finite for all $d > 0$, we can apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality successively to each of the remaining expectation in (8.6) and conclude their finiteness.

Now we consider $\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp(-4D_1/A_1)$ and $\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp(-4D_2/A_3)$ from (8.5). Clearly,

$$\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp(-4D_1/A_1) \leq\mathbf{E}_0\exp(-4D_1/(M(s\rho)A_1)), \tag{8.7}$$

where

$$M(s\rho) = \max_{\vartheta\in\Theta} e^{2\vartheta(s\rho)} = e^{2s\min_{\vartheta\in\Theta}\vartheta(\rho)} = e^{2s\gamma_\Theta(\rho)}.$$

Proceeding exactly in the same way as in the proof of [17, Lemma 6.2] we show that (8.7) is bounded by

$$CM(s\rho)^{\overline{\gamma}_\Theta(\alpha)} = Ce^{2s\gamma_\Theta(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Theta(\alpha)}. \tag{8.8}$$

The expectation $\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp(-4D_2/A_3)$ is similar. Again, in the same way as in the proof of [17, Lemma 6.2] we show that $\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp(-4D_2/A_3)$ is bounded by

$$CM_1(s\rho)^{\overline{\gamma}_\Lambda(\alpha)},$$

where

$$M_1(s\rho) = \max_{\lambda\in\Lambda} e^{2\lambda(s\rho)} = e^{2s\gamma_\Lambda(\rho)}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp(-4D_2/A_3) \le Ce^{2s\gamma_\Lambda(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Lambda(\alpha)}.$$

Now we estimate the second expectation on the right in (8.4).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}A_0^{-1}A_1^{1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{D}{A_1}-\frac{D}{A_3}\right)\\
&\le\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{s\rho}A_0^{-1}A_{V,j}^{1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{D}{A_1}-\frac{D}{A_3}\right)\\
&=\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{s\rho}A_{M,\Pi}^{-1/2}\prod_{k\neq j}A_{V,k}^{-1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{D}{A_1}-\frac{D}{A_3}\right)\\
&=\sum_{j=1}^n e^{-\sum_{\xi\in\Xi}\xi(s\rho)}e^{-\sum_{\vartheta\neq\vartheta_j}\vartheta(s\rho)}\mathbf{E}_0A_{M,\Pi}^{-1/2}\prod_{k\neq j}A_{V,k}^{-1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{D}{A_1}-\frac{D}{A_3}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $s<0$,

$$e^{-\sum_{\xi\in\Xi}\xi(s\rho)}e^{-\sum_{\vartheta\neq\vartheta_j}\vartheta(s\rho)} \le e^{-\rho_0(s\rho)}.$$

To estimate

$$\mathbf{E}_0A_{M,\Pi}^{-1/2}\prod_{k\neq j}A_{V,k}^{-1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{D}{A_1}-\frac{D}{A_3}\right)$$

we proceed as in (8.5) and (8.6) and get the same estimate. Hence, the estimate (8.1) holds on K_1.

Now we have to consider the set

$$K_2 = K\cap\{(m,v) : \|v\|\ge\varepsilon\}.$$

On this set (8.5) simplifies and, using Lemma 2.3, (8.6), (8.7) and (8.8) as above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}A_0^{-1}\exp\left(-\frac{D_1}{A_1}\right) &\le \left(\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}(A_0^{-1})^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}\exp\left(-\frac{2D_1}{A_1}\right)\right)^{1/2}\\
&\le e^{-\rho_0(s\rho)}e^{s\gamma_\Theta(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Theta(\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the previous case the second expectation in (8.4) has the same estimate. Hence, the estimate (8.2) holds on K_2. Finally, we consider the set

$$K_3 = K \cap \{(m, v) : \phi(m) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{s\rho} A_0^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{D_2}{A_3}\right) \leq \left(\mathbf{E}_{s\rho}(A_0^{-1})^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{E}_{s\rho} \exp\left(-\frac{2D_2}{A_3}\right)\right)^{1/2} \\ \leq e^{-\rho_0(s\rho)} e^{s\gamma_\Lambda(\rho)\overline{\gamma}_\Lambda(\alpha)}.\end{aligned}$$

Again, the second expectation in (8.4) has the same estimate. Thus (8.3) is proved. ☐

8.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Having Theorem 8.1, we use the standard homogeneity argument as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It is clear that for $x \in N$ with the norm $|x|_\rho \leq 1$ we have $\nu(x) \leq C_\rho$. Let $\delta_t^\rho = \mathrm{Ad}((\log t)\rho)$. Then $|\delta_t^\rho x|_\rho = t|x|_\rho$. Let $x = \delta^\rho_{\exp(-s)} x_0$ with $|x_0|_\rho = 1$ and $s < 0$. Then $|x|_\rho = e^{-s} > 1$. Let $K = \{x_0 : |x_0|_\rho = 1\}$. By definition (2.8) of $\nu^{s\rho}$, we get

$$\nu(x) = \nu(\delta^\rho_{\exp(-s)} x_0) = \nu((s\rho)^{-1} x_0 (s\rho)) = e^{\rho_0(s\rho)} \nu^{s\rho}(x_0),$$

where $\rho_0 = \sum_j \vartheta_j + \sum_i \xi_i$, and the result follows from Theorem 8.1. ☐

9. Example

Consider $N = \mathcal{H}_n$, the $2n+1$-dimensional Heisenberg group, which we realize as $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with the Lie group multiplication given by

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1)(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 + x_1 \cdot y_2).$$

The corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ is then spanned by the left invariant vector fields

$$X_j = \partial_{x_j}, \quad Y_j = \partial_{y_j} + x_j \partial_z, \quad Z = \partial_z$$

where $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $A = \mathbb{R}^k$ and let $\xi_{1,j}$, $\xi_{2,j}$, $\xi_3 \in \left(\mathbb{R}^k\right)^*$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, be such that

$$\xi_{1,j} + \xi_{2,j} = \xi_3$$

independently of j. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and $i = 1, 2$, we set

$$e^{\xi_i(a)} x = (e^{\xi_{i,1}(a)} x_1, e^{\xi_{i,2}(a)} x_2, \ldots, e^{\xi_{i,n}(a)} x_n).$$

We then define an A action on $\mathcal{H}_n$ by automorphisms of $\mathcal{H}_n$ by

$$a(x, y, z)a^{-1} = (e^{\xi_1(a)} x, e^{\xi_2(a)} y, e^{\xi_3(a)} z),$$

We then let $S = \mathcal{H}_n \rtimes A$.

Let $\overline{X}_j$, $\overline{Y}_j$, and $\overline{Z}$ be, respectively, X_j, Y_j, and Z considered as left invariant vector fields on S. Then

$$\overline{X}_j = e^{\xi_{1,j}(a)} X_j, \quad \overline{Y}_j = e^{\xi_{2,j}(a)} Y_j, \quad \overline{Z} = e^{\xi_3(a)} Z.$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\alpha &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\overline{X}_j^2 + \overline{Y}_j^2\right) + \overline{Z}^2 + \Delta_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(e^{2\xi_{1,j}(a)} X_j^2 + e^{2\xi_{2,j}(a)} Y_j^2\right) + e^{2\xi_3(a)} Z^2 + \Delta_\alpha,\end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

where Δ_α is defined in (1.2).

Example. Consider the operator $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ defined in (9.1) on $\mathcal{H}_n \rtimes A$ with $A = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\xi_{1,j} = (1,0)$, $\xi_{2,j} = (0,1)$. Theorem 1.1 gives

$$\nu(x,y,z) \le C(1 + |(x,y,z)|_\rho)^{-\frac{C_1 \rho_0(\rho)\gamma(\alpha)}{4}},$$

where

$$\gamma(\alpha) = 2\min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$$

for some constant C_1 which depends on ρ and can be computed. Take $\rho = (1,2)$. We have $\rho_0 = \sum_j \xi_{1,j} + \sum_j \xi_{2,j} + \xi_3$, where $\xi_{i,j}(a) = a_i$, $i = 1,2$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. To compute C_1 we proceed similarly as in [17, Example 1] and get

$$\nu(x,y,z) \le C(1 + |(x,y,z)|_\rho)^{-\frac{\min(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}{2}}.$$

whereas Theorem 1.3 gives, for example for $\phi(y,z) > 1$ and $\|y\| > 1$,

$$\nu(x,y,z) \le C(1 + |(x,y,z)|_\rho)^{-\frac{\alpha_1}{2} - \frac{\min(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}{2}}.$$

Similarly, Theorem 1.2 gives

$$\nu(x,y,z) \le C_q(1 + |(x,y,z)|_\alpha)^{-\frac{2}{q}(\min(\alpha_1,\alpha_2))^2},$$

whereas Theorem 1.3 gives,

$$\nu(x,y,z) \le C(1 + |(x,y,z)|_\rho)^{-\frac{\alpha_1^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2}}$$

for $\phi(y,z) > 1$ and $\|y\| > 1$, which is again a better estimate if we take for example an operator with $\alpha_1\sqrt{\frac{4}{q} - 1} < \alpha_2$.

References

[1] A.N. Borodin and P. Salminen. *Handbook of Brownian motion–facts and formulae.* Second edition. Probability and its Applications. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.

[2] L. Breiman. *Probability.* Corrected reprint of the 1968 original. Classics in Applied Mathematics, 7. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1992.

[3] D. Buraczewski, E. Damek and A. Hulanicki. Asymptotic behavior of Poisson kernels on NA groups. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 31:1547–1589, 2006.

[4] E. Damek. Left-invariant degenerate elliptic operators on semidirect extensions of homogeneous groups. *Studia Math.* 89:169–196, 1988.

[5] E. Damek and A. Hulanicki. Boundaries for left-invariant subelliptic operators on semidirect products of nilpotent and abelian groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 411:1–38, 1990.

[6] E. Damek and A. Hulanicki. Maximal functions related to subelliptic operators invariant under an action of a nilpotent group. *Studia Math.* 101(1): 33–68, 1992.

[7] E. Damek and A. Hulanicki. Asymptotic behavior of the invariant measure for a diffusion related to an NA group. *Colloq. Math.* 104(2):285–309, 2006.

[8] E. Damek, A. Hulanicki and R. Urban. Martin boundary for homogeneous riemannian manifolds of negative curature at the bottom of the spectrum. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 17(2):257–293, 2001.

[9] E. Damek, A. Hulanicki and J. Zienkiewicz. Estimates for the Poisson kernels and their derivatives on rank one NA groups. *Studia Math.* 126(2):115–148, 1997.

[10] A. De Schepper, M. Goovaerts and F. Delbaen. The Laplace transform of annuities certain with exponential time distribution. *Insurance Math. Econom.* 11(4):291–294, 1992.

[11] D. Dufresne. The distribution of a perpetuity, with application to risk theory and pension funding. *Scand. Actuarial J.* 9:39–79, 1990.

[12] G.B. Folland and E. Stein. *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups.* Princeton Univ. Press, 1982.

[13] I. Karatzas and S.E. Shreve. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus.* Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 113. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[14] H. Matsumoto and M. Yor. Exponential functionals of Brownian motion, I: Probability laws at fixed time. *Probab. Surv.* 2:312–347, 2005 (electronic).

[15] P. Malliavin. Géométrie Différentiale Stochastique, Seminaire de Mathématique Supérieur Été 1997 No 64, Les Press de l'Université de Montréal, 1978.

[16] M.P. Malliavin and P. Malliavin. Factorisation et lois limites de la diffusion horizontale au-dessus d'un espace riemannien symétrique Lecture Notes in Math. 404, 164–217, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[17] R. Penney and R. Urban. Estimates for the Poisson kernel on higher rank NA groups. *Colloq. Math.* 118(1):259–281, 2010.

[18] R. Penney and R. Urban. An upper bound for the Poisson kernel on higher rank NA groups. *Potential Analysis*, to appear.

[19] D.W. Stroock. *Probability theory, an analytic view.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[20] H. Tanabe. *Equations of evolutions.* Translated from the Japanese by N. Mugibayashi and H. Haneda. Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 6. Pitman, London–San Francisco–Melbourne, 1979.

[21] J.C. Taylor *Skew products, regular conditional probabilities and stochastic differential equations: a technical remark* in Séminaire de Probabilité XXVI, Lecture Notes in Math. 1526, 299–314, Springer, 1992.

[22] R. Urban. Estimates for the derivatives of the Poisson kernels on homogeneous manifolds of negative curvature. *Math. Z.* 240(4):745–766.

[23] M. Yor. *Exponential functionals of Brownian motion and related processes.* With an introductory chapter by Hélyette Geman. Chapters 1, 3, 4, 8 translated from the French by Stephen S. Wilson. Springer Finance. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[24] F. Zhang. *Matrix theory. Basic results and techniques.* Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, 150 N. University St,
West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
E-mail address: `rcp@math.purdue.edu`

Institute of Mathematics, Wroclaw University, Plac Grunwaldzki 2/4,
50-384 Wroclaw, Poland
E-mail address: `urban@math.uni.wroc.pl`